

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

262222

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

08 JUN 00

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
McDermott, Thomas B.		SGT	834			007
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT		LOCATION CODE*
68 So. Ada		10 MAY 00 - 2200 hrs.		0724		19
NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
1. TAYLOR, Cedric F.		P.O.	18426			007
2. NOSEK, Jeffery J.		P.O.	13533			007
SEX/RACE D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE†	
1. M 1 64	17 MAY 93		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01	
2. M 2 69	02 DEC 91		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01	
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1.						
2.						
NAME		*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE PHYS. COND. CODE†
						F/1 48/ 01
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE PHYS. COND. CODE†
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE PHYS. COND. CODE†
						M/1 1/13 01

☒ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS
The complainant alleged that the above accused officers entered her residence without a warrant or permission.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

C.R. NO.

SUMMARY

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

SEE CONTINUATION SHEET.

ATTACH-
MENTSINVESTIGATIVE REPORTS—
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

#2

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS—
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

#6,10,14,18,19

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE

LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

none

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:

22

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).


FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDINGS:

NOT SUSTAINED on all accused officers

RECOMMENDATION:

No disciplinary action is warranted

REVIEWED BY 
DATE: AUG 08 2000

DATE INITIATED
(DATE COMPLAINT WAS
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION) 19 May 00DATE COMPLETED
(DATE OF THIS
REPORT) 08 JUN 00ELAPSED TIME
(TOTAL TIME,
EXPRESSED IN DAYS) 20

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review
form by completing the Investigator's Section.

IN 

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WRITING PAPER.

ADDITIONAL ACCUSED (CONTINUED)

NAME			RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSN.
Kovac, Andrew			P.O.	19111			007
SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		SWORN/CIVILIAN	PHY. COND.
M/2	71	28 SEP 98		on-duty		sworn	01
NAME			RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSN.
Cunningham, Aaron			P.O.	15522			007
SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		SWORN/CIVILIAN	PHY. COND.
M/2	67	29 JUN 98		on-duty		sworn	01

C.R. No. 262222_____
ADDITIONAL ACCUSED

COMPLAINT REGISTER NUMBER 262222

EVIDENCE LIST

<u>Attachment Description</u>	<u>Attachment No.</u>
Complaint Against Department Member	1
C.R. Initiation Report of Sgt. John Brundage #1697 (10 May 00)	2
Notification of Charges/Allegations - P.O. Taylor #18426	3
Administrative Proceedings Rights - P.O. Taylor #18426	4
Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel - P.O. Taylor #18426	5
To From Subject Report - Response from P.O. Taylor #18426	6
Notification of Charges/Allegations - P.O. Nosek #13533	7
Administrative Proceedings Rights - P.O. Nosek #13533	8
Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel - P.O. Nosek #13533	9
To From Subject Report - Response from P.O. Nosek #13533	10
Notification of Charges/Allegations - P.O. Kovac #19111	11
Administrative Proceedings Rights - P.O. Kovac #19111	12
Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel - P.O. Kovac #19111	13
To From Subject Report - Response from P.O. Kovac #19111	14
Notification of Charges/Allegations - P.O. Cunningham #15522	15
Administrative Proceedings Rights - P.O. Cunningham #15522	16
Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel - P.O. Cunningham #15522	17
To From Subject Report - Response from P.O. Cunningham #15522	18
To-From Subject Report - Attempts to Interview Complainant	19
Letter to Complainant (copy) dated 19 MAY 00	20
Domestic Return Receipt (copy)	21
General Offense Case Report (RD # [REDACTED])	22

CONTINUATION SHEET

SUMMARY: The Complaint Against Department Member and the Initiation Report allege that the accused officers entered the complainant's residence without warrant or permission. Reporting Sergeant obtained To-From reports from the accused officers.(attachments 6,10,14,18) Officers Taylor, Nosek, Cunningham deny the allegation and in fact state that they were admitted to the residence by the complainant. The accused officers state in their reports that they stated that they were looking for the [REDACTED] in regards to a shooting that occurred. The complainant stated that [REDACTED] was not home, at which time the officers left the residence. Officer Kovac related in his To-From report that he never entered the residence. It should also be noted that in the Initiation Report of Sgt. Brundage, the complainant alleged that a bedroom door was kicked in. However, Sgt. Brundage noted that he observed all the interior and exterior doors of the residence and found no damage. (attachment #2B)

Reporting Sergeant telephoned the complainant on 19 May 00 and 28 May 00 and received no answer. Reporting Sergeant sent a certified letter to the complainant's address on 19 May 00. (Attachments #20,21) Reporting Sergeant contacted the CPD mail room and learned that the letter was sent out on 22 May 00 under article [REDACTED]. To date, reporting Sergeant has been unable to interview the complainant. (Attachment #19).

Due to the fact that the complainant has not been interviewed and is apparently uncooperative in this investigation, reporting Sergeant can neither prove or disprove the allegation. The allegation made on the accused officers is therefore NOT SUSTAINED.